	BOUTING	C AND	DECORI	n cheet		
	ROUTING	3 AND	KECOKI	J SNEE!		
SUBJECT: (Optional)						
Technological	Surprise	STAP				
FR			EXTENSION	NO. STAP 88-0004		
Chairman, STAP		-		3 February 1988		
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom		
building)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)		
1. C/STAP						
2.						
3.						
4.		·				
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.	·					
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

☆ U.S. Government Printing Office: 1985-494-834/49156

STAT

STAT

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Science and Technology Advisory Panel

STAP 88-0004 3 February 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Central Intelligence
VIA:	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence Director, Intelligence Community Staff
SUBJECT:	Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report
÷ .	
question of how technological sudefining the kin main lines of in the Intelligence examination of s	This memorandum reports the findings of a STAP working group that examined the intelligence could be enhanced to reduce the likelihood of rprise, with particular emphasis on the Soviet Union. After ds of surprise that can occur, the working group followed two quiry: a review of the organizational structure and process Community uses to study technological issues; and an ome key substantive areas that are likely to see technological indings of the group are summarized in this report. A
detailed list of	procedural recommendations (Attachment A) and a survey of s for emphasis (Attachment B) are attached.
usually inclined Horse or Pearl Horse and effect broader context, Innovations in machine gun, the warfare and the range of developing single way of	Surprise Because of its dramatic effect in combat, we are to conceive of surprise in the sense suggested by the Trojan larbor, a sense that limits our perspective to an immediate. But it is no less essential to examine surprise in a to look at the means as well as the conduct of warfare. The limits technology—such as the longbow, gunpowder, the long-range missile, and so on—have changed the face of political map. The history of these innovations illustrates a poment paths, and underscores the important point that there is thinking about surprise. Analysts must be aware of the steep by which surprise can occur.
scient unilate fission held se broad	dentific Surprise Surprise here most nearly equates to lific notions of "discovery." Most dramatic would be the eral discovery of a new scientific principle, like nuclear or stimulated emission, whose military applications would be excret until a surprise attack—an unlikely event. Given the reach of science, it is difficult to predict a comprehensive of areas that could prove troubling.
<u>[</u>	
CONTAINS SECRET	

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0

SECRET

REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENT

UBJECT:	Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report	25X1
		25X
*		
	b. <u>Technological Innovation</u> Equally high stakes, at somewhat higher probability, are associated with the technological development or novel combination of <u>established</u> scientific principles for military uses. At issue are both the exploitation of new scientific	
	principles and the integration of different technologies in unanticipated ways. For example, the fission of atomic nuclei by neutron capture was a publicly available scientific fact just before World War II. The program to develop the technology for a feasibility demonstration of a nuclear weapon was not (although it was later acquired by Soviet espionage).	25X1
	d. Fielding of New Military Systems Many divergences between the US and the Soviets in this category are already known, but their significance may not yet be fully appreciated; others remain to be identified. In organizing efforts to avert surprise, it will be important to focus careful attention on identifying potential countermeasures to our existing systems. In many cases we are well aware of the technologies that might be applicable	25X:
	and we are attempting to avoid surprise by preparing for the possibility that our adversaries have expended the effort to deploy them. Technological surprise in this vein can also be compounded by innovations in doctrine and tactics; again, the main surprise would be that an adversary actually did what we knew (technically) to be possible.	25X1 25X1
nolitica	It is also important to emphasize the point that surprise has a l dimension. During a period of cold war, for example, the political f a surprise (as with Sputnik in 1957) merges with military leverage	
	SECRET -	25 X 1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

; Sanı	Itized Copy Approved for Release 2010/01/06 : CIA-RDP91B00046R000300420003-0	25X1
		25X1
SUBJECT:	Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report	
	We should also scan our vulnerabilities with these same questions in mind, particularly with respect to potential	25X1
	countermeasures to currently programmed US systems	
	Beyond this, it will be important to have a small, highly	25X1
	creative effort to identify technological innovations that, though clearly inappropriate for the US, might be rewarding for	
•	the USSR.	25X1
	(2) Doctrinal, Socio-political and Geomilitary Dimensions. The	
	use of high technology in warfare could produce disastrous surprises if we rely on constraints that may be of a political	
	rather than a technical nature, for example, disarmament	·
	treaties, non-proliferation agreements. or expectations of a country's intentions.	25x1
	Country 3 meeticions.	25X1
		25X1
	developed in third countries (not just the US and USSR) should	ZJAI
	not be neglected, and attention should be paid to the ract that	
	surprise implications are not limited to military issues; economic implications are also important (as in the case, for	
:	example, of fusion).	25X1
		25X1
•		
·		
	It is not enough, however, to grasp the potential for surprise; it is as important to increase the awareness of those who	25X1 25X1
	must act on that potential. A list of recommendations that would	
	accomplish these objectives at very little cost is shown in Attachment A.	25X1
	Substantive Areas Where Surprises May Occur Although implementation	
of tho	above recommendations is believed to be the most important action	
noodod.	to reduce the chance that another Sputnik, ALFA-class submarine, or in biological agent will take US policymakers unaware, the Panel	
haliqua	s it would also be useful to identify key areas where inceringence	
الأراجة مرامر مقرمة والأرام	on should be concentrated. These areas include technological nities that may be exploited in ways that would have significance for	
opportu	THE LES THE HAY BE CAPTURED.	25X1
	SECRET	

25X1

9. As an aside it is worth pointing out that one knowledgeable observer of the Soviet political and scientific scene suggested that despite apparent changes in atmosphere in the USSR, including the stress on "glasnost", activities in R&D institutions will not change much in the foreseeable future. There will be younger institute directors, and some relaxation of

	·
SUBJECT: Technological Surprise - STAP Working Group Report	25X1
constraints on communication, but most things, including the areas being worked, will go on as before.	25X1
10. We intend to continue working closely with Community S&T officers to reduce the likelihood of surprise, and would be happy to discuss any of these issues with you in further detail if you wish	25X1
	;
Attachments: A. Procedural Recommendations B. Some Technologies and Substantive Areas for Emphasis	25X1 25X1

6 SECRET

25X1

SUBJECT: Technological Surpr	ise - STAP Working Group Report
DISTRIBUTION: (STAP 88-0004)	
Copy 1 - DCI 2 - DDCI 3 - ER File 4 - D/ICS 5 - DD/ICS	
6 - DDR&E/ICS 7 - C/STAP 8 - NIO/S&T (Nall) 9 - D/OSWR	
10 - DD/OSW 11 - C/STIC 12 - STAP Subject 13 - STAP Chrono 14 - ICS Registry	
DCI/ICS/PPC	(25 January 1988)

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET

